

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/681,847	Applicant(s) WANG ET AL.	
	Examiner Leo B. Tentoni	Art Unit 1732	

All Participants:

(1) Leo B. Tentoni.

(2) Alan D. Kamrath Reg. No. 28,227.

Status of Application: Advisory Action Mailed

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 18 December 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NONE

Claims discussed:

NONE

Prior art documents discussed:

NONE

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

No further papers were submitted after the filing of the amendment-after-final (with extension of time). Therefore, this application is abandoned

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Leo B. Tentoni

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)